INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern al Application No PCT/GB2005/000018

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A01N63/02 A01N37/06 A01N37/02 //(A01N37/06,37:06)						
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC						
B. FIELDS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system (ollowed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A01N						
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
Electronic d	ata base consulted during the International search (name of data ba	ase and, where practical, search terms used	t)			
EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS						
C. DOCUM	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT					
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the re	levant passages	Relevant to claim No.			
X	K.W. WILL ET AL.: "New defensive chemical data for ground beetles (Coleoptera: Carabidae): interpretations in a		1,2			
	phylogenetic framework" BIOLOGICAL JOURNAL OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY, vol. 71, 2000, pages 459-481, XP002321872 abstract					
A	page 461, paragraph 4 table 1 page 477, paragraph 4		3–7			
х	P.D. SCOTT ET AL.: "Pygidial defensive secretions of some carabid beetles" INSECT BIOCHEM., vol. 5, 1975, pages 805-811, XP002321873		1,2			
A	page 805, last paragraph page 810, lines 15-18 page 810, last paragraph		3-7			
		-/				
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed	n annex.			
° Special ca	tegories of cited documents:	*T* later document published after the inte	ernational filing date			
'A' document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but clied to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention						
*E' earlier document but published on or after the international "X' document of particular relevance; the claimed Invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to						
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the						
*O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document is combined with one or more other such document of the means ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.						
tater than the priority date claimed *&* document member of the same patent family Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report						
	1 March 2005	06/04/2005				
Name and malling address of the ISA Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2						
	NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Marie, G				

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

ĺ	Internation No
	PCT/GB2005/000018

		PC1/6B2005/000018
C.(Continua	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	J. MAIR & G.R. PORT: "Predation on the slug Deroceras reticulatum by the carabid beetles Pterostichus madidus and Nebria brevicollis in the presence of alternative prey" AGRICULTURAL AND FOREST TECHNOLOGY, vol. 3, 2001, pages 169-174, XP002321874 abstract	1-7
A		1-7

PCT/GB2005/000018

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 03 June 2005 (03.06.05);
original claims 1-2, 7 amended; new claims 8-10 added; remaining claims unchanged (1 page]

PCT/GB2005/000018

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 03 June 2005 (03.06.05); original claims 1-2, 7 amended; new claims 8-10 added; remaining claims unchanged (1 page]

Bismillah

Claims (new set of claims)

- 1. A method of repelling or deterring slugs using naturally occurring secretions from ground beetles.
- 2. A method of repelling or deterring slugs on vining peas as claimed in claim 1 using beetle secretions.
- 3. The use of a composition comprising methacrylic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 4. The use of a composition comprising methacrylic acid, tiglic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 5. The use of a composition comprising methacrylic acid, crotonic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 6. The use of a composition comprising formic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 7. The use of a composition comprising acetic acid, crotonic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 8. The use of a composition comprising acetic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 9. The use of a composition comprising tiglic acid and water as a slug repellent.
- 10. The use of a composition as claimed in claims 3 9 to deter slugs in vining peas.